

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/541,780	NISHIMOTO, STEVE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher E. Lee	2112

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

- (1) Christopher E. Lee (USPTO).
 (2) Fred G. Pruner, Jr. (Reg. No. 40,779).

(3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Time: 1:20pm (est)

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claim 16

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informs that the Decision on Appeal states the claim 16 is reversed, which is dependent claim of the claim 15 affirmed by BPAI. Therefore, the Examiner proceeds the way of converting the dependent claim 16 into independent form with regard to MPEP 1214.06. The Applicant's representative Mr. Fred G. Pruner, Jr. (Reg. No. 40,779) agrees to convert the claim 16 into the independent form..